

LEADERSHIP STYLES IN MANAGEMENT

PhD Stefano AMODIO, Teseo Institute, Italy

Abstract: *Leadership styles play a crucial role in shaping organizational dynamics and influencing team performance. This article explores three primary leadership styles—autocratic, democratic, and laissez-faire—based on the foundational works of Likert and Lewin. Additionally, it delves into various decision-making strategies identified by Kotter and Schlesinger, emphasizing the importance of situational adaptability in leadership.*

Introduction: Leadership is an essential aspect of management, influencing the success of teams and organizations. Different leadership styles can be employed based on the situation and the needs of the team. Three widely recognized leadership styles include autocratic, democratic, and laissez-faire, each with its own advantages and implications. The effectiveness of these styles depends on various factors such as time constraints, team competence, and the nature of the problem to be solved.

Leadership Styles:

1. **Autocratic Style:** In the autocratic leadership style, the leader makes decisions independently, determining what needs to be done, how to do it, and the required time frame. This approach does not involve consulting others, as the leader relies solely on personal judgment. Autocratic leadership is effective in emergency situations requiring quick decisions but may lead to disengagement and reduced morale among team members.

2. **Democratic Style:** The democratic leadership style encourages team participation in decision-making. Leaders gather input from team members, consider diverse opinions, and make collective decisions. This approach fosters collaboration, motivation, and job satisfaction, ultimately enhancing overall performance. While more time-consuming than

autocratic leadership, it strengthens communication and alignment between individual and organizational goals.

3. Laissez-Faire Style: Laissez-faire leadership is characterized by minimal direct intervention from the leader. The team is entrusted with decision-making, while the leader remains responsible for outcomes. This approach is effective when team members possess the necessary skills and expertise to handle tasks independently. However, it may lead to inefficiencies if team members lack direction or experience.

Situational Leadership Adaptability: Effective leaders adjust their leadership style based on the specific challenges they face. Key factors influencing leadership decisions include:

- Time available for decision-making
- Level of trust in the team
- Competence and expertise of team members
- Access to critical information for problem-solving
- Presence of conflicts within the team or external stakeholders

For urgent and high-risk situations, an autocratic approach may be necessary. Conversely, when complex problems require deliberation, a democratic style is more appropriate. Laissez-faire leadership is most effective when teams demonstrate autonomy and high competence.

Decision-Making Strategies by Kotter and Schlesinger: Beyond traditional leadership styles, Kotter and Schlesinger identify different strategies leaders use when introducing change and making decisions:

- **Involvement:** Engaging followers in the decision-making process to ensure their active participation.
- **Negotiation:** Seeking agreements with stakeholders who have vested interests in the organization.
- **Education:** Helping stakeholders understand the value of open communication and collaboration.
- **Support:** Providing assistance to stakeholders to reach a collective decision.
- **Manipulation:** Using indirect tactics to obtain necessary information for decision-making.

- **Threats:** Pressuring team members to comply with directives, often leveraging career consequences.

- **Co-option:** Creating an illusion of involvement while ultimately prioritizing personal or organizational interests.

Conclusion: There is no universally correct leadership style; effective leaders adapt their approach based on the situation. By understanding and utilizing different leadership styles and decision-making strategies, leaders can navigate complex organizational challenges and drive success. Leadership flexibility, combined with strategic decision-making, fosters a productive and engaged workforce, ultimately contributing to organizational growth.

References:

- Adorno T., *La Personalità Autoritaria*, New York, Harper, 1950.
- Amabile T. M., *Motivating Creativity In Organizations*, 1997.
- Anthony R. N., *Il Controllo Manageriale*, Franco Angeli, Milano, 1990.
- Bales R. F., Slater P. E., *La Differenziazione Dei Ruoli Nei Gruppi Ristretti Decisionali E In T. Parsons, R. F. Bales, Famiglia E Socializzazione*, Mondadori, Milano, 1974.
- Bertone V., *Creatività Aziendale*, Franco Angeli, 1993.
- Bion W. R., *Esperienze Nei Gruppi*, Armando, Roma, 1971.
- Cocco G. C., *Creatività, Ricerca E Innovazione*, Franco Angeli, 1988.
- De Bono E., *Creativity & Innovation Network*, 1989.
- De Bono E., *Essere Creativi*, Il Sole 24 Ore, Milano, 2003.
- De Bono E., *Six Thinking Hats*, Penguin, 1990.
- De Bono E., *The Use Of Lateral Thinking*, Penguin, 1967 (Trad. It. *Il Pensiero Laterale*, Rizzoli, 1969).
- Gardner, *Leading Minds: An Anatomy Of Leadership*, New York, Basic Books, 1995 [*Personalità Egemoni: Anatomia Dell'attitudine Al Commando*, Trad. It. Di E. Donetti, Feltrinelli, Milano, 1996].
- Getz I., *Créativité Organisationelle*, Vuibert, 2002.
- Goleman D., Boyatzis R., Mckee A., *Essere Leader*, Bur, 2002
- Goleman D., *Lavorare Con Intelligenza Emotiva*, New York, Bantam, 1998.